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#### Abstract

Voltage instability has been identified as the most critical factor responsible for poor power quality in electric power systems. The high losses experienced at the distribution level of these systems has become a major concern to power system operators, with about $10-13 \%$ of the total generation being dissipated as heat. Maintaining the system voltage within an acceptable limit will go a long way in reducing these losses and enhancing the overall system operational capability. The objective of this paper is to improve the voltage magnitude and reduce overall power losses in an existing 50 -bus radial distribution feeder via the allocation of Distribution Static Compensator (DSTATCOM) using an established bacterial foraging algorithm (BFA) based model. The application of the swarm-based meta-heuristic model is extended to a three-quarter (75\%) loading condition of the standard IEEE 33-bus test network and then, employed on the 50-bus Canteen feeder for both normal $(100 \%)$ and three-quarter ( $75 \%$ ) loading conditions. Comprehensive analysis was performed for both networks and the results were compared with their respective base-case scenarios. The final results of the evaluation obtained through simulation showed appreciable reduction in power losses and improvement in overall voltage profile with the allocation of DSTATCOM in both networks using the BFA based model. Voltage improvement in the region of $20.04 \%$ and active power loss reduction of $24.86 \%$ were recorded for three-quarter loading of the IEEE test network. For the 50 -bus Canteen feeder, an overall voltage profile improvement of $6.13 \%$ and active power loss reduction of $22.84 \%$ were achieved for normal loading condition, whereas $2.99 \%$ and $19.71 \%$ improvement in total voltage profile and active power loss respectively were attained under three-quarter loading condition.
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## NOMENCLATURE

$i, k \quad$ bus indices
$V_{\text {ref }} \quad$ reference voltage
$V_{\text {min }} \quad$ minimum voltage
$V_{\max }$ maximum voltage
$V_{i} \quad$ voltage magnitude at bus i
$V_{k} \quad$ voltage magnitude at bus k
$R_{i} \quad$ resistance of line section between bus i and $\mathrm{i}+1$
$X_{i} \quad$ reactance of line section between bus $i$ and $\mathrm{i}+1$
$P_{i} \quad$ active power at bus i
$Q_{i} \quad$ reactive power at bus i
$P_{D, i} \quad$ active power demand at bus i
$Q_{D, i} \quad$ reactive power demand at bus i
$P_{\text {slack }}$ active power injected from slack bus
$Q_{\text {slack }} \quad$ reactive power injected from slack bus
$Q_{c, \text { min }} \quad$ minimum size of DSTATCOM
$Q_{c, \text { max }} \quad$ maximum size of DSTATCOM
$Q_{c, i} \quad$ size of DSTATCOM at bus i
$N$ bus number
$N B \quad$ branch number
$N C$ number of DSTATCOM

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Electric power system is a compact arrangement of three major components namely: generation, transmissions and distribution systems [1]. In order to meet consumers' demand, the generation systems are normally expanded with growing customer demand. However, it is no secret that electric power systems all over the world are experiencing exponential rise in power demand by electricity consumer, thereby forcing power systems to be operated close to their tolerance [2]. This action is capable of jeopardizing the system security and if not properly handled may lead to system collapse [3]. Expansion of existing power networks and setting up of new ones will go a long way in addressing the problem of swelling power demand. However, unfavorable governmental policies, coupled with environmental, financial and time factors are the major drawbacks encountered [4].

Currently, Distribution Flexible AC Transmission Systems (D-FACTS) devices are widely employed at the distribution level of power systems to enhance consumers' energy supply [5]. The placement of one or
more D-FACTS devices in distribution networks can improve the system voltage profile and reduce its losses. Examples of D-FACTS devices developed and employed for enhancing power systems performance include Universal Power Quality Conditioner (UPQC), Dynamic Voltage Restorer (DVR), Distribution Static Synchronous Series Compensator (DSSSC), Distribution Static Compensator (DSTATCOM), etc [2].

The DSTATCOM is a shunt connected D-FACTS device that has, to a great extent, gained recognition among researchers and power system operators due to its high regulatory capability, low power losses, compact size, less harmonic distortion, zero resonance and low-cost [6]. Although there is high interest in the application of DSTATCOM for improving the operational capability of existing power systems, proper placement of these devices in distribution networks is tasking and requires a robust approach [2].

Many works have employed several techniques for optimal allocation of DSTATCOMS in distribution networks. The use of analytical approaches to overcome the issues of power loss and voltage deviation in distribution networks by DSTATCOM have been reported in [1], [7], [8], [9], [10] and [11].However, these techniques are insufficient due to their inability to fully integrate the network nonlinear characteristics, their mathematical complexity and high computational time [12]. Thus, the need for less complex, fast computational time and more effective approaches.

The drawbacks encountered by analytical approaches in DSTATCOM allocation have been addressed to a large extent by several meta-heuristic techniques such as crow search algorithm [13], hybridized PSO and general algebraic modeling system [14], differential evolution algorithm [15, 16], imperialistic competitive algorithm [17], cuckoo search algorithm [18], hybridized fuzz-ACO [19], particle swarm optimization algorithm [20], immune algorithm [21], harmony search algorithm [5], bacterial foraging algorithm [4], quadratic adaptive bacterial foraging algorithm [6], firefly algorithm [22], grasshopper optimization algorithm [2] and weighted artificial fish swarm algorithm [23].

Although all of these methods have been used to optimally locate and size single or multiple DSTATCOMs in different distribution networks, their effectiveness on existing distribution networks cannot be guaranteed, as their applications have been restricted mostly to standard test networks. Hence, employing such techniques in solving local existing network problems is highly necessary.

Considering the aforementioned limitations, this paper which is an extension of the original work presented in [3] focuses on evaluating the effectiveness of bacterial foraging algorithm in the optimal allocation of DSTATCOM in an existing 50-bus Canteen feeder under normal and three-quarter loading conditions with the intent of enhancing voltage stability and reducing losses, while at the same time satisfying the feeder's constraints.

## 2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

### 2.1 Radial Distribution Power Flow Analysis

An elaborate power flow analysis of radial distribution systems is adopted from [2] based on a simple distribution network illustrated in figure 1 .


Figure 1. Single line diagram of radial distribution network

The network power flow equations obtained from figure 1 are given as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{i+1}=P_{i}-P_{D, i+1}-R_{i}\left(\frac{P_{i}^{2}+j Q_{i}^{2}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)  \tag{1}\\
Q_{i+1}=Q_{i}-Q_{D, i+1}-X_{i}\left(\frac{P_{i}^{2}+j Q_{i}^{2}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)  \tag{2}\\
V_{i+1}^{2}=V_{i}^{2}-2\left(R_{i} P_{i}+X_{i} Q_{i}\right)+\left(R_{i}^{2}+X_{i}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{P_{i}^{2}+j Q_{i}^{2}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The power losses (active and reactive) between buses $i$ and $i+l$ are given expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\operatorname{Loss}(i, i+1)}=R_{i}\left(\frac{P_{i}^{2}+j Q_{i}^{2}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& Q_{\operatorname{Loss}(i, i+1)}=X_{i}\left(\frac{P_{i}^{2}+j Q_{i}^{2}}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The total network active and reactive power losses represented by equations (6) and (7) are computed by taking the summation of individual active and reactive power losses for $\mathrm{n}=1,2,3, \ldots$, NB using equations (4) and (5).

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\text {Loss (Total) })}=\sum_{n=1}^{N B} P_{\text {Loss }, n}  \tag{6}\\
& Q_{\text {Loos }(\text { Total })}=\sum_{n=1}^{N B} Q_{\text {Loss }, n} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The overall voltage profile of the system can be improved by reducing the network voltage deviation expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{D}=\sum_{i}^{N}\left(V_{i}-V_{r e f}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.2 Objective Function

The objective of allocating DSTATCOM in distribution networks is to reduce power losses and enhance voltage profile, while at the same time satisfying the network constraint. In order to achieve the stated objective, a multiobjective function $(F)$ comprising of total active power loss and voltage deviation is minimized as demonstrated in equation (9) subject to the equality and inequality constraints presented in equations (10)-(11) and (12)-(13) respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Minimize}(F)=\operatorname{Minimize}\left(P_{\text {Loss }(\text { Total })}+V_{D}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& P_{\text {slack }}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_{D, i}+\sum_{n=1}^{N B} P_{\text {Loss }, n}  \tag{10}\\
& Q_{\text {slack }}+\sum_{i=1}^{N C} Q_{\mathrm{c}, i}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_{D, i}+\sum_{n=1}^{N B} Q_{\text {Loss }, n}  \tag{11}\\
& V_{\text {min }} \leq V_{i} \leq V_{\text {max }}  \tag{12}\\
& Q_{c, \text { min }} \leq Q_{c, i} \leq Q_{c, \text { max }} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. STRUCTURE OF THE OPTIMIZATION ALGORITHM

The BFA is a swarm-based nature inspired algorithm that mimics the food searching strategy of Escherichia Coli bacteria [24-27]. The algorithm is designed based on four main operations explained succinctly as below:

### 3.1 Chemotaxis

Chemotaxis explains the movement of bacteria toward nutrients or away from noxious substances over a search space [28, 29]. When situated in highly nutrition environment, a bacterium maintain its movement by swimming in the same direction. However, if its current position is less nutritious, the bacterium changes direction by tumbling in anticipation of finding nutrients [28]. The movement of the $i^{\text {th }}$ bacterium over a landscape of nutrients is described by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta^{i}(j+1, k, l)=\theta^{i}(j, k, l)+C(i) \phi(i) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta^{i}(j, k, l)$ is the location of $i^{\text {th }}$ bacterium at $j^{\text {th }}$ chemotactic, $k^{\text {th }}$ reproductive, $l^{\text {th }}$ elimination-dispersal step. $C(i)$ is the length of unit walk and $\phi(i)$ is the direction angle of the $j^{\text {th }}$ step $[6,12]$. The cost function of the $i^{\text {th }}$ bacterium represented by $J=J(i, j, k, l)$ is obtained based on its position [24]. The direction angle $\phi(i)$ describes the tumble of the bacteria expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(i)=\frac{\Delta(i)}{\sqrt{\Delta^{T}(i) \Delta(i)}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(i) \in \square^{p}$ is a randomly generated vector with elements within the interval $[-1,1]$, while $\square$ and $p$
represent real numbers and dimensions respectively.

### 3.2 Swarming

Bacterium communicate with each other through the secretion of attractants or repellants. A bacterium in nutrient environment release attractants to signal bacteria to swarm together, while repellent are secreted to signal others to maintain a minimum distance when it is in a noxious environment [6], [12], [26]. The cell-to-cell signaling mechanism of the bacteria is explained by:

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{c c} & =(\theta, P(j, k, l)) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{S}\left[-d_{\text {attract }} \exp \left(-\omega_{\text {atrract }} \sum_{m=1}^{p}\left(\theta_{m}-\theta_{m}^{i}\right)^{2}\right)\right]  \tag{16}\\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{S}\left[h_{\text {repellant }} \exp \left(-\omega_{\text {repellant }} \sum_{m=1}^{p}\left(\theta_{m}-\theta_{m}^{i}\right)^{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{c c}$ is the value to be added to the actual cost function, $S$ is the total number of bacteria, $p$ is the number of variables to be optimized which are present in each bacterium, $\theta=\left[\theta_{1} \theta_{2} \ldots \theta_{p}\right]^{T}$ denotes a point in the $p$-dimensional search domain. $d_{\text {attractant }}$ and $\omega_{\text {attractant }}$ are the depth and measure of the width of the attractant released by the cell respectively, while $h_{\text {repellant }}$ and $\omega_{\text {repellant }}$ are the height and measure of the width of the repellent respectively [24].

The effect of equation (16) is considered by determining the fitness of each bacterium using:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(i, j, k, l)=J(i, j, k, l)+J_{c c}(\theta, P(j, k, l)) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Reproduction

The fitness functions are sorted by the reproduction operation explained by equation(18). Half of the bacteria population $\left(S_{r}\right)$ with worst health die off leaving behind those with better fitness. Each of the remaining bacteria split into two in order to maintain the bacteria population. The number of reproduction steps that should be taken by each bacterium is represented by $N_{r e}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\text {health }}^{i}=\sum_{j}^{N_{c}+1} J(i, j, k, l) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4 Elimination-Dispersal

To avoid the possibility of the bacterium being stocked in local optimum and increase the bacterium search ability, some of the bacteria are eliminated while some are dispersed within the search space according to a probability $\left(P_{e d}\right) . N_{e l}$ is the number of eliminationdispersal steps that should be taken by each bacterium [2, 25].

A complete pseudo-code of the proposed BFA is presented below [3], [27, 28]:

[^0]```
        [Step 4] Chemotaxis loop:
        for j=j+1
        4.1. for each bacterium i=1,2,\ldots,S,
        4.2. Determine the cost function J(i,j,k,l) using equation (17)
        4.3. }\mp@subsup{J}{\mathrm{ last }}{}=J(i,j,k,l
        4.4. Tumbling: Create a random vector set }\Delta(i)\epsilon\mp@subsup{\square}{}{p
        4.5. Move: Compute }\mp@subsup{0}{}{i}(j+1,k,l) using equation (14)
        4.6. Compute cost function }J(i,j+1,k,l) using equation (17)
        4.7. Swim: }m=0\mathrm{ (counter for swim length)
        while m<NS
            m= m+1,
                    if }J(i,j+1,k,l)<\mp@subsup{J}{\mathrm{ last then}}{
                    J last }=J(i,j+1,k,l
                Move: Compute }\mp@subsup{0}{}{i}(j+1,k,l) using equation (14
                Compute cost function J (i,j+1,k,l) using equation
    (17)
        else
        m<NS
            end
        end
        [Step 5] if j< N
    [Step 6] Reproduction
    for i=1,2,\ldots,S,
        6.1Compute }\mp@subsup{J}{\mathrm{ health i}}{i
    end
        6.2 Sort bacteria in order of ascending. The smallest
        healthier bacteria (Srr) die and others divided into two
        bacteria and placed in the same place.
    end
    [Step 7] if k< N
    [Step 8] Elimination-dispersal:
    for,m=1,2,\ldots,S
    8.1 if P Ped > rand (create a random number for each bacterium
    and if any number is lower than }\mp@subsup{P}{\mathrm{ ed }}{}\mathrm{ then discard or destroy
    the bacterium)
    Create new random locations for the bacteria
        else
        Bacteria remain in their place.
        end
    end
if l<N Ned, move back to step 2;
else
    end.
```


## 4. MATERIALS AND METHOD

In this paper, a BFA based program code for allocation of DSTATCOM in radial distribution networks is written and implemented in MATLAB 2017a environment using a HP EliteBook 6930p PC with Intel Core Dual P8700 processor, 2.53 GHz and 4 GB installed RAM. The BFA parameters adopted from [30] are given in table 1. A simple and concise flowchart for implementation of the BFA based optimization approach is illustrated in figure 2.

## 5. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The strength of the proposed technique is once again tested on the standard IEEE 33-Bus radial distribution network for three-quarter loading of the network. The network maximum and minimum voltage was set between 1.05 and 0.95 p.u respectively as employed in [23]. To test the effectiveness and performance of the approach on existing power distribution networks, a local 50-Bus Canteen feeder with upper and lower bus voltage limits of 1.02 and 0.99 p .u respectively was utilized. Analysis of the feeder with DSTATCOM is carried out for both normal and threequarter loading conditions. The DSTATCOM limit for both networks (IEEE 33-Bus and 50-Bus Canteen feeder) is set
between 0 and $100 \%$ of the total kVAr loadings of the networks.

Table 1. BFA parameters

| S/ N | Parameters | Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Dimension of search space, $p$ | 2 |
| 2 | Number of bacteria, $S$ | 10 |
| 3 | Number of chemotactic steps, $N_{c}$ | 4 |
| 4 | Number of swim steps, $N_{s}$ | 4 |
| 5 | Number of reproductive steps, $N_{r e}$ | 4 |
| 6 | Number of elimination-dispersal steps, $N_{e d}$ | 3 |
| 7 | Run-length unit $C(i)$ | 0.1 |
| 8 | Number of bacteria reproductions (splits) per generation, $S_{r}$ | $S / 2$ |
| 9 | The probability that each bacteria will be eliminated/dispersed, $P_{e d}$ | 0.25 |
| 10 | Depth of attractant, $d_{\text {attract }}$ | 0.1 |
| 11 | Width of attractant, $\omega_{\text {attract }}$ | 0.2 |
| 12 | Height of repellent, $h_{\text {repellant }}$ | 0.1 |
| 13 | Width of repellent, $\omega_{\text {repellant }}$ | 10 |



Figure 2. Flow chart for proposed BFA approach

### 5.1 33-Bus Standard Test Network

The network which consists of 33-buses and 32branches has substation voltage, base MVA and overall real and reactive loads values of $12.66 \mathrm{kV}, 100 \mathrm{MVA}$, 3.72MW and 2.3MVAr respectively. The network single line diagram and data (line and bus) employed in this work can be obtained from [21].

As reported in [3], the BFA approach has been applied for DSTATCOM placement under normal loading condition of the test network to minimize total active power loss and voltage deviation as described in equation(9). It was reported that a single DSTATCOM with average optimal size of 2577 kVAr was placed at bus 30 using the proposed method. This action has succeeded in raising the minimum voltage value at bus 18 from 0.9134 to 0.9428 p.u., thereby improving the
overall voltage profile of the network by $82.88 \%$ as compared to the base-case. A clear comparison in terms of voltage profile improvement is shown in figure 3.

Figures 4 and 5 shows the reduction in active and reactive power losses caused by the presence of DSTATCOM in the network. The total active and reactive losses have been reduced from 201.8925 kW and 134.613 kVAr to 190.5254 kW and 132.6283 kVAr respectively, thus resulting in 5.87 and $1.50 \%$ reduction respectively as compared to the base-case. Also, the incorporation of DSTATCOM by the proposed method has further enhanced the network mean voltage magnitude from 0.9486 to 0.9752 .


Figure 3. Voltage profile improvement using BFA


Figure 4. Active power loss reduction using BFA


Figure 5. Reactive power loss reduction using BFA
For three-quarter loading condition of the test network, the position and size of DSTATCOM were determined as bus 29 and 883 kVar respectively. The placement of the obtained DSTATCOM size on the optimum bus has
improved the minimum bus voltage profile from 0.9036 to 0.9431 p.u, as such enhancing the overall network voltage profile by $20.0 \%$ as compared to the base-case. Figure 6 shows a plot illustrating the improvement achieved due to the installation a DSTATCOM in the network.

The effect of the DSTATCOM in terms of the network active and reactive power losses can be seen in figures 7 and 8 respectively. The presence of the compensator has reduced the active and reactive losses by 24.89 and $25.12 \%$ respectively as compared to the network base-case results. In addition, the mean voltage magnitude has improved from 0.9621 to 0.9682 with the allocation of DSTATCOM in the network.


Figure 6. Voltage profile improvement using BFA


Figure 7. Active power loss reduction using BFA


Figure 8. Reactive power loss reduction using BFA

Performance analysis of the BFA technique for both loading conditions of the network (normal and threequarter) are summarized in tables 2 and 3 respectively.

Table 2. Performance Analysis of BFA for Normal Loading Condition

| Parameters | Normal Loading (100\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BASE-CASE | BFA [3] |
|  <br> Size (kVAr) | - | Bus 30 (2577) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}$ (p.u.) at bus 18 | 0.9134 | 0.9428 |
| Overall Voltage <br> Improvement (\%) | - | 82.88 |
| Total PLoss (kW) | 201.8925 | 190.5252 |
| PLoss Reduction (\%) $^{\text {Total QLoss (kVAr) }}$ | - | 5.87 |
| QLoss Reduction (\%) | - | 134.6413 |
| Mean Voltage <br> Magnitude | 0.9486 | 0.9752 |
| Computational Time (s) | - | 7.20 |

Table 3. Performance Analysis of BFA for Three-Quarter Loading

| Parameters | Three-quarter Loading <br> $(75 \%)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BASE-CASE | BFA |
|  <br> Size (kVAr) | - | Bus 29 (883) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}$ (p.u.) at bus 18 | 0.90363 | 0.9431 |
| Overall Voltage <br> Improvement (\%) | - | 20.04 |
| Total PLoss (kW) | 109.4600 | 82.2493 |
| PLoss Reduction (\%) | - | 24.86 |
| Total QLoss $(\mathrm{kVAr})$ | 72.9522 | 54.6294 |
| QLoss Reduction (\%) | - | 25.12 |
| Mean Voltage <br> Magnitude | 0.9621 | 0.9682 |
| Computational Time (s) | - | 6.33 |

### 5.2 50-Bus Canteen Feeder

The 50-Bus Canteen feeder is an existing local feeder that constitutes part of the Zaria distribution network. The network is characterized by an appreciable number of buses and branches (50-buses and 49-branches), thus making it a relative large network. The single line diagram shown in figure 9 and network data (line and bus) presented in the Appendix are obtained from [12]. The network line voltage, base MVA and total active and reactive loads are given as $11 \mathrm{kV}, 100 \mathrm{MVA}, 0.388 \mathrm{MW}$ and 0.297 MVAr respectively.


Figure 9. Single line diagram of 50-bus canteen feeder
Under normal loading condition of the feeder, the BFA method obtained 227.8 kVAr and bus 41 as the optimum size and position of DSTATCOM. The effect of installing the attained size of DSTATCOM at the optimum bus in terms of voltage profile improvement can be seen clearly in figure 10 .

The feeder minimum bus voltage (at bus 27) has been improved from 0.9892 to 0.9902 p.u, resulting in $6.13 \%$ improvement in overall voltage profile of the feeder. Similarly, the feeder power losses (active and reactive) have been minimized with the installation of DSTATCOM. The active and reactive power losses as shown in figures 11 and 12 have been minimized from their respective base-case values of 2.8066 kW and 1.7799 kVAr to 2.1655 kW and 1.3731 kVAr respectively. Therefore yielding a 22.84 and $22.86 \%$ reduction in total active and reactive power losses respectively. Also, the feeder mean voltage magnitude has been improve to 0.9955 from 0.9942 with the incorporation of DSTATCOM.


Figure 10. Voltage profile improvement using BFA


Figure 11. Active power loss reduction using BFA


Figure 12. Reactive power loss reduction using BFA
Analysis conducted on the feeder under three-quarter loading condition shows that DSTATCOM size of 149.6 kVAr and optimum location of bus 40 were obtained by the proposed technique. The minimum voltage at bus 27 has been enhanced from 0.9919 to 0.9926 p.u and the feeder active and reactive losses have been minimized to 1.2625 kW and 0.8006 kVAr from 1.5725 kW and 0.9972 kVAr respectively. The voltage profile improvement and the active and reactive power losses reduction achieved in the feeder due to presence of DSTATCOM are shown in figures 13, 14 and 15 respectively.

A mere $2.99 \%$ improvement in overall voltage profile of the feeder was recorded as compared to the base-case value. The total active and reactive losses were found to have been reduced by 19.71 and $19.72 \%$ respectively.

Also, the overall voltage magnitude of the feeder was improved to 0.9963 from 0.9960 .


Figure 13. Voltage profile improvement using BFA


Figure 14. Active power loss reduction using BFA


Figure 15. Reactive power loss reduction using BFA

Similarly, the performance analysis of the BFA technique for both loading conditions of the feeder (normal and three-quarter) are summarized in tables 4 and 5 respectively.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper examined the ability and effectiveness of the BFA in sizing and site DSTATCOM in an existing local 50-Bus Canteen feeder. The effect of the reactive power injected into the feeder by the DSTACOM has been considered in terms of voltage profile and line losses. Analysis have been performed on the feeder under normal and three-quarter loading conditions,
while the work has been extended to three-quarter loading condition of the standard test network (IEEE 33-Bus). From the results of a direct power flow, a multi-objective function has been formulated and employed to minimize the total network losses and voltage deviation. The improvements in voltage profile and reductions in power losses recorded by the proposed approach has demonstrated its strength and ability to handle compensation problems in existing distribution networks under different loading conditions. Future work will focus on the validation of the proposed BFA approach by applying a number of recently developed meta-heuristic algorithm in the allocation of multiple DSTATCOMs and other D-FACTS devices in the local network.

Table 4. Performance Analysis of BFA for Normal Loading

| Parameters | Normal Loading (100\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BASE-CASE | BFA |
| DSTATCOM Position <br> \& Size (kVAr) | - | Bus 41 (227.8) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\min }$ (p.u.) at bus 18 | 0.9892 | 0.9906 |
| Overall Voltage <br> Improvement (\%) | - | 6.13 |
| Total PLoss (kW) | 2.8066 | 2.1655 |
| PLoss Reduction (\%) $^{2}$ | - | 22.84 |
| Total QLoss (kVAr) | 1.7799 | 1.3731 |
| QLoss Reduction (\%) | - | 22.86 |
| Mean Voltage <br> Magnitude | 0.9942 | 0.9955 |
| Computational Time (s) | - | 8.87 |

Table 5. Performance Analysis of BFA for Three-Quarter Loading

| Parameters | Normal Loading (75\%) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BASE-CASE | BFA |
|  <br> Size (kVAr) | - | Bus 40 (149.6) |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}$ (p.u.) at bus 18 | 0.9919 | 0.9926 |
| Overall Voltage <br> Improvement (\%) | - | 2.99 |
| Total PLoss (kW) | 1.5725 | 1.2625 |
| P $_{\text {Loss }}$ Reduction (\%) | - | 19.71 |
| Total QLoss (kVAr) | 0.9972 | 0.8006 |
| QLoss Reduction (\%) | - | 19.72 |
| Mean Voltage <br> Magnitude | 0.9960 | 0.9963 |
| Computational Time (s) | - | 8.06 |
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## APPENDIX

Line and bus data for 50-Bus Canteen Feeder.

| Branch No. | From Bus | To Bus | $\mathrm{R}(\Omega)$ | $\mathrm{X}(\Omega)$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{kW})$ | $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{kVAr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 0.3871 | 0.2456 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 0.0829 | 0.0526 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 3 | 3 | 4 | 0.3318 | 0.2105 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 4 | 4 | 5 | 0.2489 | 0.1579 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 5 | 5 | 6 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 6 | 6 | 7 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 7 | 7 | 8 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 8 | 8 | 9 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 9 | 9 | 10 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 10 | 10 | 11 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 11 | 11 | 12 | 0.1106 | 0.0702 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 12 | 12 | 13 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 13 | 13 | 14 | 0.7742 | 0.4912 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 14 | 14 | 15 | 0.3871 | 0.2456 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 15 | 15 | 16 | 0.2212 | 0.1403 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 16 | 16 | 17 | 0.1106 | 0.0701 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 17 | 17 | 18 | 0.2212 | 0.1403 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 18 | 18 | 19 | 0.3871 | 0.2456 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 19 | 19 | 20 | 0.1659 | 0.1052 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 20 | 20 | 21 | 0.3318 | 0.2105 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 21 | 21 | 22 | 0.1936 | 0.1228 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 22 | 22 | 23 | 0.6083 | 0.3859 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 23 | 23 | 24 | 0.3318 | 0.2105 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 24 | 24 | 25 | 0.1659 | 0.1052 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 25 | 25 | 26 | 0.3318 | 0.2105 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 26 | 26 | 27 | 0.3595 | 0.2281 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 27 | 3 | 28 | 0.4701 | 0.2982 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 28 | 28 | 29 | 0.2212 | 0.1403 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 29 | 29 | 30 | 0.1935 | 0.1228 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 30 | 30 | 31 | 0.1935 | 0.1228 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 31 | 31 | 32 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 32 | 32 | 33 | 0.1106 | 0.0701 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 33 | 33 | 34 | 0.2212 | 0.1403 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 34 | 34 | 35 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 35 | 4 | 36 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 36 | 36 | 37 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 37 | 37 | 38 | 0.0553 | 0.0351 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 38 | 38 | 39 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 39 | 8 | 40 | 0.1382 | 0.0877 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 40 | 40 | 41 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 41 | 41 | 42 | 0.1106 | 0.0702 | 6.48 | 4.86 |
| 42 | 42 | 43 | 0.0277 | 0.0175 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 43 | 43 | 44 | 0.4148 | 0.2631 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 44 | 44 | 45 | 0.1659 | 0.1053 | 3.24 | 2.43 |
| 45 | 45 | 46 | 0.1382 | 0.0877 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 46 | 46 | 47 | 0.4977 | 0.3158 | 16.20 | 12.15 |
| 47 | 47 | 48 | 0.1383 | 0.1000 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 48 | 48 | 49 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 9.72 | 7.29 |
| 49 | 49 | 50 | 0.5000 | 0.3000 | 9.72 | 7.29 |


[^0]:    [Step 1] Initialize parameters:
    ${ }_{p, S, N_{s}, N_{c}, N_{r e}, N_{e d}, P_{e d}, C(i), \theta^{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, S)}$
    [Step 2] Elimination and the dispersal loop:
    for $l=l+1$
    [Step 3] Reproduction loop:
    for $k=k+1$

